IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN

AT JAIPUR BENCH, JAIPUR

O R D E R

1. S.B. Civil Second Appeal No.36 of 2004.
Teeju Bai Versus Anandi Lal

2. S.B. Civil Second Appeal No.35 of 2004.
Teeju Bai Versus Kanhaiya Lal

3. S.B. Civil Second Appeal No.34 of 2004.
Teeju Bai Versus Girraj

4. S.B. Civil Second Appeal No.37 of 2004.
Teeju Bai Versus Puroshttam

Date of Order ::: 30/11/2006

Hon'ble Mr. Narendra Kumar Jain, J.

Mr. Vijay Yadav, Counsel for plaintiff-appellant.
Mr. S.C. Purohit and
Mr. R.P. Meena Counsel for defendant-respondent

By the Court :

Heard learned counsel for both the parties.

In above-named four second appeals, the plaintiff is common and they relate to common land,

therefore, they are being disposed off by this common order.

The plaintiff-appellant filed a suit for cancellation of registered sale-deed dated 06/08th of October 1980 executed by her father Keshri Lal in favour of different defendant in all the four suits. The learned Lower Court framed specific i.e. Issue No.4 in this regard as to whether the sale-deed dated 06.10.1980 registered on 08.10.1980 is forged one.

The learned Lower Court after considering the oral and documentary evidence adduced by both the parties recorded a finding that the sale-deed in dispute is not forged document. Issue No.4 was decided against the plaintiff and in favour of the defendant. Consequently, the suit of the plaintiff was dismissed by the learned Lower Court. The judgment and decree passed by the Lower Court has been affirmed by the First Appellate Court also.

I have heard learned counsel for both the parties.

Learned counsel for the plaintiff-appellant could not point out any illegality or perversity in the impugned judgment and decree passed by both the Courts

below.

After considering the submissions of learned counsel for both the parties I find that controversial issues involved in these second appeals relate to the question of facts and there is a concurrent finding of fact recorded by both the Courts below, which cannot be interfered with by this Court in second appeals under Section 100 of the C.P.C.

No substantial questions of law are involved in any of the second appeals.

Consequently, all the four second appeals are hereby dismissed at the admission stage itself with no order as to costs.

Certified copy of this order be placed on record of other connected three second appeals.

(Narendra Kumar Jain) J.

ashok/